### **Case Information**

1456316 | PEO VS MARTINEZ, RAYMOND CURTIS

Case Number

Judicial Officer

1456316

Court Criminal

Freeland, John D

File Date

Case Type

Case Status

04/23/2013

Converted Criminal

Closed

FEL-MISD-INF

### **Party**

Plaintiff

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Defendant

MARTINEZ, RAYMOND CURTIS

DOB

XX/XX/XXXX

Inactive Attorneys▼

Lead Attorney

MEYER, RICHARD,

Esq.

Retained

Work Phone 2095291112

### Charge

Charges MARTINEZ, RAYMOND CURTIS

	Description	Statute	Level	Date
001	VC23152(a)-M- DRIVING UNDER INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL/DRUGS	23152(a)	Misdemeanor	03/08/2013
002	VC23103.5-M- RECKLESS DRIVING WITH ALCOHOL OR DRUGS INVOLVED	23103.5	Misdemeanor	03/08/2013

## **Disposition Events**

06/21/2013 Plea▼

1 VC23103.5-M-RECKLESS DRIVING WITH ALCOHOL OR DRUGS INVOLVED

NOLO

06/21/2013 Disposition ▼

001 VC23152(a)-M-DRIVING UNDER INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL/DRUGS

Dism: Other Dismissal

06/21/2013 Disposition ▼

002 VC23103.5-M-RECKLESS DRIVING WITH Nolo by Plea ALCOHOL OR DRUGS INVOLVED

06/21/2013 Sentenced ▼

001 VC23152(a)-M-DRIVING UNDER Sentenced
INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL/DRUGS

002 VC23103.5-M-RECKLESS DRIVING WITH Sentenced
ALCOHOL OR DRUGS INVOLVED

Dataile

5/1//2010

Probation

Type: Informal Probation

Start Date: 06/21/2013

Term: 36 Months

Status

Status

Date

Comment

Active

06/21/2013

Comment: Informal: 36 Month(s) Fine: \$800 CAF: \$30 SEC: \$100 RS1: \$140 Fine Credit: \$100 EMF: \$4 Defendant Represented by Counsel: Y Total: \$974.0: Enroll and complete the Drinking Driver Program: Level 1

04/22/2016 Amended Sentenced ▼

#### Amend Reason

PC1203.4: Conv Set Aside & Dism

001 VC23152(a)-M-DRIVING UNDER Sentenced

INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL/DRUGS

002 VC23103.5-M-RECKLESS DRIVING WITH Sentenced

ALCOHOL OR DRUGS INVOLVED

Converted Disposition

Subsequent Code: Conv Set Aside & Dism per 1203.4 PC-71

### **Events and Hearings**

03/11/2013 Own Recognizance

04/23/2013 Complaint

05/08/2013 Arraignment Hearing ▼

Original Type

Arraignment Hearing

Hearing Time

08:30 AM

Result

Completed Hearing

05/08/2013 Probation Order & Terms Form Print

05/29/2013 Pretrial -

Original Type

Pretrial

Hearing Time

8:30 AM

Result

Continued Party's Motion

06/21/2013 Pretrial >

Original Type

Pretrial

Hearing Time

8:30 AM

Result

Pled

06/21/2013 Case Disposed

06/21/2013 Probation Sentence Terminated

08/15/2013 Electronic Disposition Reporting

03/22/2016 Reopen- Case Status Only

03/22/2016 Petition

04/22/2016 Law & Motion -

Original Type

Law & Motion

5/14/2010		Dataile	
	Hearing Time		

8:30 AM

Granted

04/22/2016 Conviction Set Aside and Dismissed

04/22/2016 Electronic Disposition Reporting

04/22/2017 Purge Pending

### **Financial**

No financial information exists for this case.

## STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORN								_
THE PROPERTY OF THE STITE OF SHEET STICK	THE	PEOPLE	OF	THE	STATE	OF	CALIFORNIA	,

2013 APR 23 AM 9: 10

VS.

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

RAYMOND CURTIS MARTINEZ

(DOB: 6/28/83)

(JAIL CITE)

Booking No. 1322085

DEFENDANT(S)

No. 1456316

MPD MP13020496

COMPLAINT -- CRIMINAL

State of California ) County of Stanislaus) ss.

On April 23, 2013, L. Serrano, City of Modesto Police Department, complains and alleges, upon information and belief, that said defendant did commit the following crime in the County of Stanislaus, State of California.

COUNT I: On or about March 8, 2013, defendant(s) did commit a misdemeanor, DRIVING WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL, violation of Section 23152(a) of the California Vehicle Code, in that the defendant(s) did willfully and unlawfully drive a vehicle while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage.

COUNT II: On or about March 8, 2013, defendant did commit a misdemeanor, DRIVING WITH BLOOD ALCOHOL LEVEL OF 0.08% OR ABOVE, violation of Section 23152(b) of the California Vehicle Code, in that the defendant did willfully and unlawfully drive a vehicle while having 0.08 percent or more, to wit, .09/.09 % by weight, of alcohol in his blood.

SKU/1sp

All of which is contrary to law in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the People of the State of California.

Said Complaint therefore prays that a warrant be issued for the arrest of said defendant(s) and that said defendant(s) be dealt with according to law.

I certify under penalty of perjury, at Modesto, California, that the foregoing is trueand correct.

Dated:

Delano

Complainant

1 2 3 4	DAVID HUFFMAN (241751) 30021 TOMAS ST, STE 300 RANCHO SANTA MARG, CA 92688 TELEPHONE: (949) 864-6075 FAX: (877) 744-8312 EMAIL: info@freshstartlawcenter.com	FILED  2016 MAR 22 AM 9: 33  CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR GOURT COUNTY OF STANISLAUS			
5	Attorney for Defendant.	The state of the s			
6					
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS				
10					
11	PEOPLE OF THE STATE	Docket No.: 1456316			
12	OF CALIFORNIA,  Plaintiff,	MOTION & POINTS AND AUTHORITIES			
13	Fiamun,	TO TERMINATE PROBATION PURSUANT PC 1203.3			
14	vs.	3 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20			
15	RAYMOND MARTINEZ	Date: APR 2 2 2016 Time: 8:30			
16	Defendant.	Dept: H			
17					
18					
19	RAYMOND MARTINEZ, through counsel David Huffman, pursuant to California Penal				
20	Code 1203.3 moves this Honorable Court for entry of its Order granting early termination of Mr.				
21	Martinez's probation, upon the grounds that the court has the discretion to terminate probation under				
22	PC 1203.3.				
23	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day o	f February 2016:			
24	ACCIT OLD I SODIVITI I D unis 29 ui day 0	1 rebluary, 2010			
25					
26		David Huffman - #241751 Attorney for Raymond Martinez			
27		Thomey for Raymond Martinez			
28					
		1 -			
	MOTION & SUPPORTING POINTS AND AUTHORITI	ES TO TERMINATE PROBATION PURSUANT PC 1203.3			

### **POINTS & AUTHORITIES**

Mr. Martinez submits the following points and authorities in support of the motion to terminate probation early:

# I. THE COURT HAS THE POWER TO TERMINATE PROBATION AT ANYTIME DURING THE PROBATIONARY TERM:

Penal Code § 1203.3(a) provides in part:

The court may at any time when the ends of justice will be subserved thereby, and when the good conduct and reform of the person so held on probation shall warrant it, terminate the period of probation, and discharge the person so held.

The trial court is therefore authorized, during the time of probation, upon proper showing, to modify or terminate probation. People v. Cookson, 54 Cal. 3d 1091, 1098-1099 (1991); People v. Butler, 105 Cal. App. 3d 585 (1980); People v. Marin, 147 Cal. App. 2d 625, 627 (1957).

Here, Mr. Martinez was convicted pursuant VC 23103.5 on June 21, 2013. He has successfully completed over 32 months of his 36 month probationary term at this time (probation naturally terminates on 6/21/2016). Mr. Martinez has completed all of the requirements of his sentence, and is simply waiting for probation to terminate at this time.

Mr. Martinez deeply prides himself on being a positive and productive member of society. He served our country in the United States Navy from 2001-2006, serving two tours of duty in the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts. Shortly after his arrest in this case, Mr. Martinez obtained his Bachelors of Science in Sports Medicine / Athletic Training from the University of the Pacific. He continued his educational pursuits and while he was on probation in this case he obtained a Masters of Human Resource Development, with an emphasis in Athletic Leadership, from Clemson University. He is currently employed as an intern athletic trainer with the San Francisco 49ers, with intern being the operative word. Mr. Martinez is currently paid very little in his intern position, and he is seeking out non-intern full-time athletic trainers positions with sporting organizations around the country.

Mr. Martinez is extremely remorseful for his behavior and he fully understands the gravity of his actions. At the time of the conviction Mr. Martinez made the poor choice to go out and celebrate

with two fellow military friends he served with in Iraq that were on leave. His home was a couple blocks from the restaurant they patronized, and his BAC was barely over the legal limit. Thus, his behavior was not egregious, but it was admittedly irresponsible.

Mr. Martinez is seeking early termination of probation, and dismissal of the underlying misdemeanor conviction, for several reasons.

FIRST, and most importantly, Mr. Martinez would like to be able to fully explore his employment options with NCAA athletic programs around the country. Unfortunately, a vast majority of NCAA programs require a criminal background check as part of their routine hiring process today, necessarily putting Mr. Martinez at a severe disadvantage in this competitive field. Mr. Martinez is willing, and able, to work. He simply desires to have the ability to secure employment, to work hard every day, and to financially provide for himself. He has spent six years pursuing his educational goals, and he is now fearful that this outlier mistake in his life may derail his career aspirations.

SECOND, Mr. Martinez has sizable student loans that he is obligated to pay back.

Unfortunately, his current intern position with the San Francisco 49ers does not pay enough for him to pay his student loans at this time. Thus, it is critically important that Mr. Martinez secure employment in his chosen field so that he is able to start his career and pay back his mounting student loan obligation.

THIRD, Mr. Martinez is horribly embarrassed about this mistake in his life, and he deeply desires to put it behind him for good. He has learned a great deal from the experience, and he will continue to use his story as one of caution moving forward in his life. Mr. Martinez simply wants to move forward with his life wherein he is no longer defined professionally by this blemish on his record, allowing him to financially provide for himself. Mr. Martinez is a good kid that made a stupid mistake. He has paid his dues, completed all of his sentence requirements, and is ready to move forward, progress in his career and fully contribute to society at this time.

### **CONCLUSION**

The only purpose probation serves at this time is to prevent Mr. Martinez from securing full-time employment in his chosen field, which he desperately needs. Mr. Martinez does not need a probationary term to remind him to be a law-abiding citizen, as he will never make a mistake like this again. Mr. Martinez is spending what little money he has to attempt to get his probationary term terminated, which signifies the importance and urgency of having this done now, as Mr. Martinez would not have spent the money and time seeking early termination if it was not critically important to his life at this pivotal juncture. Mr. Martinez has paid his dues, completed his sentence requirements, and is not at risk for offending again. He has never had another arrest or conviction in his life. It should also be noted that Mr. Martinez is not attempting to "game" the system, rather he is simply trying to clean up his record so that he is able to find employment. His situation is an example of where the legal system has worked. This has been an extremely traumatic experience for him, and he will never put himself in a similar situation again in his life. Furthermore, dismissal under PC 1203.4 does not remove the criminal matter from his permanent record, and it can still be used as a prior if Mr. Martinez were to ever violate the law again.

Section 1203.4 does not, properly speaking, "expunge" the prior conviction. The statute does not purport to render the conviction a legal nullity. Instead it provides that, except as elsewhere stated, the Defendant is "released from all penalties and disabilities resulting from the offense." The limitations on this relief are numerous and substantial, including other statutes declaring that an order under section 1203.4 is ineffectual to avoid specified consequences of a prior conviction.

(People v. Frawley (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 784, 791; please also see People v. Vasquez (2001) 25 Cal.4th 1225, 1230 and People v. Mgebrov, supra, 166 Cal.App.4th at p. 584.). Mr. Martinez simply wishes to work hard every day, to progress with his career, and to move forward with his life.

24 | / / / / /

25 /////

26 | /////

Terminating Mr. Martinez's probationary term at this time is in the interest of justice for the above stated reasons. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of February, 2016; David Huffman - #241751 Attorney for Raymond Martinez 

Your Honor.

I am writing this letter in regards to my arrest in March of 2013 in hopes that my words here will help aid in the expungement of the charges due to said arrest. At the time of my arrest, I was enrolled at the University of the Pacific pursuing my Bachelor's degree in Sports Medicine. It was a weekend, where two of my close friends I had served with in the Navy, were back in town fresh off of a deployment. I had taken the weekend off of school, I normally worked weekends due to working with sports, to meet with my friends and tell old war stories from our deployments together. While doing so we decided to have a few drinks. Consuming alcohol was a rare occasion after I got out of the Navy due to seeing too many of my fellow Sailors and Marines drink themselves into a grave but, nonetheless I felt this was an occasion to do so. This was also a time where I was graduating college in a few short months, studying for finals as well as taking the Board of Certification exam to become a Certified Athletic Trainer, so I cut our visit short and cut myself off to return home a few blocks away. I chose to get in my car and drive those few short blocks. Making that decision is one that I have regretted since the day it happened, not because I got caught but because it was the wrong thing to do and out of my character. I am a man that takes responsibility for every decision I make and I accept that what I did was wrong and I have learned from it every day, even being an advocate of sober driving and/or alternate methods of getting home for those around me.

Since that time, I indeed graduated from the University of the Pacific with my Bachelors of Science in Sports Medicine and Athletic Training and passed the Board of Certification in June of 2013. In February 2013, prior to the arrest, I accepted a position as a Graduate Assistant at Clemson University in South Carolina and due to the arrest this was put into jeopardy. Through no fault but my own, the next step to my future was almost taken away and this weighed heavily upon me. I was able to continue on to Clemson but was hindered in my capabilities when it came to duties that had to do with driving athletes to their doctor's appointments and/or surgeries following an injury. Once my driving suspension was complete I was able to do such duties but it was after great embarrassment; an embarrassment I intend not to put myself through again.

Overall my time at Clemson was a success, my first year I worked with the football team which ended the season with an Orange Bowl win in Miami against Ohio State. My second year I helped the men's tennis team do well during tournament season, finishing in the top teams in many tournaments and winning the Clay Invitational in Orlando Florida. After my two years of working with the sports teams at Clemson, all while working on my schooling, I graduated with my Master's Degree in Human Resource Development with and emphasis in Athletic Leadership. I left with a breadth of professional experience and knowledge and came back to California to pursue my dream of working in the National Football League.

After graduation I became a year-long Athletic Training intern for the San Francisco 49ers, which is where I am now. Being an intern I am not an actual employee of the NFL therefore did not fall under their strict personal conduct rules. If this were not the case, I could not have come and been a part of an NFL team. With the season complete, I am now looking to take my experience and obtain a permanent position in the NCAA and I fear my mistake, almost three years ago, will potentially derail

that ultimate goal. I say this because, outside of California, every state requires a licensure to go along with the certification and, as each state may slightly differ, alcohol related incidences are usually nonhirable offenses. I am currently in the process with Duke University to become a staff member for their football team. I would like for this mistake to not hold me back from making a living doing what I love and have spent so much time and energy obtaining the school and experience to do so.

I, one hundred percent, know that the choice I made to drive that night was the wrong choice and, as mentioned above, I am an advocate for alternate methods of travel when someone, including myself, chooses to drink. I have been known to say that a \$300 cab ride is a lot cheaper than an arrest for driving while impaired and a great deal less embarrassing and/or life altering. As a man, I take a great deal of pride in my service to this country and I take that pride with me in any and all of my endeavors in life and in work. As ignominious a situation this has been for me, I take great pride in knowing that I have learned from it. One does not acquire wisdom without making mistakes; this is one mistake that I am wise enough to learn from and never repeat.

Very Respectfully,

Raymond C. Martinez

Date: 2.18.16

					CR-100
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and ad DAVID HUFFMAN, 241751	ddress):			FOR CO	OURT WE ONLD
30021 TOMAS ST, STE 300 RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA, CA 92688				2016 MA	IR 22 AM 9: 35
TELEPHONE NO.: (949) 864-6075 FAX NO E-MAIL ADDRESS: Info@FreshStartLawCenter.com	COUNTY COUNTY	THE SUPERIOR COURT			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Raymond Martinez				( an	and a land
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		ANN -1 N -2		Jano	CO. LOMO
DEFENDANT: Raymond Martinez	DATE OF I	BIRTH: 06/28/1983	3		
				CASE NUMBER: 1456316	
PETITION FOR DISMISSAL (Pen. Code, §§ 17(b), 1203.4, 1203.4a, 1203.41)				FOR Co Date: Time: Department:	OURT USE ONLY
1. On (date): 6/21/2013 , the petitioner (the defen	ndant in the ab	ove-entitled crir	minal a	action) was convict	ted of a violation of the
following:		176			
Offense (Specify each offense in the case noted above.)	Code	1500,000,000		of offense: (Felony; eanor; Infraction)	Eligible for reduction to misdemeanor under Penal Code § 17(b) (Yes or No)
"Wet" Reckless Driving	vc	23103.5	Misden	neanor	n/a
Y			<u> </u>		
If additional space is needed for listing offenses, use	e Attachment t	o Judicial Coun	ncil For	m (form MC-025).	
2. X Felony or misdemeanor with probation gran	stad (Ban Car	do £ 4202 4\			
<ol> <li>X Felony or misdemeanor with probation gran Probation was granted on the terms and condi</li> </ol>	1.00		f the al	nove-entitled court	the netitioner is not
serving a sentence for any offense, nor on protein petitioner (check all that apply):					
a. has fulfilled the conditions of probation	n for the entire	period thereof	f;		
b. x has been discharged from probation p	orior to the terr	mination of the	period	thereof;	
c. should be granted relief in the interest be in the interests of justice. You may relevant documents.)					
3. Misdemeanor or infraction with sentence o	ther than pro	hation (Pen. C	3 aho	1203 4a)	
Probation was not granted; more than one yea has complied with the sentence of the court ar any crime; and the petitioner (check one):	ar has elapsed	since the date	of pro	nouncement of jud	
a. has lived an honest and upright life single the land; or	nce pronounce	ement of judgm	ent and	d conformed to an	d obeyed the laws of
b. should be granted relief in the interest be in the interests of justice. You may other relevant documents.)					

1 400 1 170

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v. DEFENDANT:	CASE NUMBER:
Raymond Martinez	1456316
Tray mond trademos	
4. Felony county jail sentence under Penal Code section 1170(h)(5) (P	en. Code, § 1203.41)
The petitioner is not under supervision under Penal Code section 1170(h	n)(5)(B) and is not serving a sentence for, on
probation for, or charged with the commission of any offense, and should	d be granted relief in the interests of justice, and
(check one:)  a. more than one year has elapsed since petitioner completed the	felony county iail sentence with a period of
a more than one year has elapsed since petitioner completed the mandatory supervision imposed under Penal Code section 1170	O(h)(5)(B): or
b. more than two years have elapsed since petitioner completed the	ne felony county iail sentence without a period of
mandatory supervision imposed under Penal Code section 1170	O(h)(5)(A).
(Please note: You must explain why granting a dismissal would be in the	
the Attached Declaration (form MC-031) or submit other relevant docum	e interests of justice. Too may complete and attend nents )
the Attached Declaration (form we do t) of dubling distance declaration	
Petitioner requests that the eligible felony offenses listed above be reduced to	misdemeanors under Penal Code section 17(b).
Petitioner requests that he/she be permitted to withdraw the plea of guilty, or the	nat the verdict or finding of guilt be set aside
and a plea of not guilty be entered and the court dismiss this action under secti	ion × 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41
of the Penal Code.	
	)
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	oregoing is true and correct.
Executed on: 2/29/2016	
(DATE)	SIGNATURE OF PETTIONER OR ATTORNEY)
30021 TOMAS ST, STE 300 RANCHO SAN A MAR 7ADDRESS PETITIONER) (CITY)	GARITA CA 92688  (STATE) (ZIP CODE)
(CITY)	
Z. Company	

1 2 3	Stanislaus County District Attorney 832 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95354  2016 APR 14 AM II: 08				
4	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT				
5	DEPUTY				
6					
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	COUNTY OF STANISLAUS				
10					
11	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ) CASE NO.: 1456316				
12	Plaintiff, PEOPLE'S OPPOSITION TO				
13	VS. DEFENDANT'S REQUEST TO OBTAIN RELIEF PURSUANT				
14	RAYMOND CURTIS MARTINEZ,  TO PENAL CODE SECTION 1203.4				
15	Defendant. ) Date: April 22, 2016				
16	Time: 8:30 a.m. ) Dept: 4				
17	000				
18	Defendant Martinez has brought a motion to obtain relief pursuant to Penal Code				
19	§1203.4. A conviction for violating Vehicle Code §23103.5 is barred from expungement relief				
20	by Penal Code §1203.4(c), absent a court finding that expungement serves the "interests of				
21	justice."				
22	SUMMARY OF THE CASE				
23	On June 21, 2013, Mr. Martinez was convicted of violating Vehicle Code §23103.5(a),				
24	Reckless Driving Plea in Satisfaction of a Driving Under the Influence Charge, a misdemeanor.				
25	He was ordered to serve one day in jail, placed on three years of informal probation, ordered to				
26	pay fines, fees, restitution, and ordered to attend Level I alcohol rehabilitation classes.				
27					
28					

	١
1	
	l
2	
3	
4	
5	
_	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	-
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	1

28

Mr. Martinez's probation will not terminate until June 20, 2016. Revenue Recovery reports that Mr. Martinez has paid all fines, fees, and restitution. He completed his Level I alcohol rehabilitation classes on July 26, 2013.

### LAW AND ARGUMENT

I.

# THE DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR EARLY TERMINATION OF PROBATION SHOULD BE DENIED

Penal Code §1203.3, subdivision (a) provides the authority for the court to modify its order. This section states, in relevant part:

The court shall have authority at any time during the term of probation to revoke, modify, or change its order . . . The court may at any time <u>when the ends of justice will be subserved thereby</u>, and when the good conduct and reform of the person so held on probation shall warrant it, terminate the period of probation, and discharge the person so held. (Emphasis added).

The People recognize that relief under Penal Code §1203.3 is not a defendant's "right," but rather is extraordinary relief granted at sole discretion of the Court. The People oppose terminating probation, because of the serious nature of Mr. Martinez's offense. Mr. Martinez placed the public at risk by choosing to drive under the influence. The interests of justice would not be served by dismissing it at this time. For these reasons, Mr. Martinez's request for early termination of probation under Penal Code §1203.3 should be denied.

II.

# PENAL CODE §1203.4(C)(1) EXPLICITLY DENIES RELIEF TO PERSONS CONVICTED OF VIOLATING VEHICLE CODE § 23103.5

Penal Code §1203.4 states, in relevant part:

In any case in which a defendant has fulfilled the conditions of probation\_for the entire period of probation, or has been discharged prior to the termination of the period of probation, or in any other case in which a **court**, in its discretion and the interests of justice, determines that a defendant should be granted the relief available under this section, the defendant shall, at any time after the termination of the period of probation, if he or she is not then serving a sentence for any offense, on probation for any offense, or charged with the commission of any offense, be permitted by the court to withdraw his or her plea of guilty or plea of nolo contendere and enter a plea of not guilty; or, if he or she has been convicted after a plea of not guilty, the court shall set aside the verdict of guilty; and, in either case, the court shall thereupon dismiss the accusations or information against the defendant. . (Emphasis added).

////

(c)(1)... subdivision (a) <u>does not apply to a person</u> who receives a notice to appear or is otherwise <u>charged with a violation of an offense described in</u>.... <u>Section 12810 of the Vehicle Code.</u> (Emphasis added).

As stated in §1203.4(c)(1), relief does not apply to a defendant who has been charged with an offense under Vehicle Code §12810. The offenses described in Vehicle Code §12810 include Vehicle Code §23103.5. Therefore, per Penal Code §1203.4(c)(1), any person convicted of violating Vehicle Code §23103.5 is ineligible for relief under Penal Code §1203.4(a)(1).

However, under §1203.4(c)(2), the court does have discretion to grant relief "if the interests of justice" are so served. The "interests of justice" involve an exercise of the Court's discretion that must be free from impartiality and not impacted by sympathy or prejudice. It must be based on sound legal principles and grounded in reasoned judgment. (People v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (Alvarez) (1997), 14 Cal.4th 968, 977.)

The serious nature of Mr. Martinez's offense should not be overlooked. Driving under the influence is a very dangerous crime that places not just the offender, but other people at risk. For this reason a dismissal would not further the interests of justice.

#### III.

# IF THE COURT EXPUNGES THIS MATTER, DEFENDANT'S VIOLATION WILL REMAIN A PRIOR SHOULD HE REOFFEND

The People caution Defendant that his DUI conviction will remain a priorable offense for the 10-year statutory period described in Cal. Vehicle Code section 23622, notwithstanding an expungement. Further, Dismissal will not make conviction records unavailable to the public. (People v. Field (1995) 31 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1778, 1787.) State licensing agencies are not prevented from using the conviction in making licensing decisions. (See, e.g., Business & Professions Code section 475, 480, 490; Education Code section 44009; Adams v. County of Sacramento (1991) 235 Cal.App.3d 872, 885 (denying peace officer status); Department of Children and Family Services v. Superior Court (Cheryl M.) (2003) 112 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 509 (Disqualifying an individual from obtaining a foster care license).)

# **CONCLUSION** Based upon the foregoing, the People respectfully request that Raymond Martinez's motion for relief pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4 be denied. of April, 2016 at Modesto, California. Dated this Respectfully submitted, BIRGIT FLADAGER lan Arderson Meghan M. Anderson Deputy District Attorney MMA:KDG

1	DAVID HUFFMAN (241751) 30021 TOMAS ST, STE 300	FILED APR 2 2 2016
2	RANCHO SANTA MARG, CA 92688	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
3	TELEPHONE: (949) 864-6075 FAX: (877) 744-8312	COASTA OF STANIS AUS
4	EMAIL: info@freshstartlawcenter.com	DEFUTY
5	Attorney for Defendant	
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8		TY OF STANISLAUS
9	TORTIE COOK	
10	PEOPLE OF THE STATE )	Case No.: 1456316
11	OF CALIFORNIA,	Case 110 1430310
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs.	ORDER TO TERMINATE PROBATION
14	RAYMOND MARTINEZ	PURSUANT PC 1203.3
15	Defendant.	
16	)	
17	)	
18		
19	The Court GRANTS the motion and	orders that probation be terminated in the above
20	reference case pursuant California Penal	
21		
22	[ ] The Court DENIES the motion.	
23		
24		
25	Date: 09/20/16	L. M. M.
26	/	Judicial Officer / Judge
27		FRANK DOUGHERTY
28		1000 <b>- 1</b> 0
- 1		1

ORDER TO TERMINATE PROBATION PURSUANT PC 1203.3

A B	
3	
Kad	

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY				
DAVID_HUFFMAN [241751]					
30021 TOMAS STREET, STE 300	MINTON THE PERSON				
RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA, CA 92688	FILED				
077 744 0040					
TELEPHONE NO.: 949-864-6075 FAX NO.: 877-744-8312	APR 2 2 2016				
E-MAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Raymond Martinez	CIEDVOS TIVE CUIDEDIA				
ATTOMACT ON (Walle). IN ay ITIOTIC IVIAI LITTLE	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT				
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	BY X X O O O O				
v.	W West				
DEFENDANT: Raymond Martinez  DATE OF BIRTH: 06/28/1983	DEFANY				
	CASE NUMBER:				
ORDER FOR DISMISSAL	1456316				
(Pen. Code, §§ 17(b), 1203.4, 1203.4a, 1203.41)					
The court finds from the records on file in this case, and from the foregoing petition, that the	ne petitioner (the defendant in the				
above-entitled criminal action) is eligible for the following requested relief:					
<b>5</b> 1					
1. The court GRANTS the petition for reduction of a felony to a misdemeanor under Pena	al Code section 17(b) and reduces the				
following felony convictions to misdemeanors:					
ALL FELONY CONVICTIONS in the above-entitled action; or					
Only the following felony convictions in the above-entitled action (specify charge)	s and date of conviction):				
Only the following fallony convictions in the above children action (aposity charge)	o and data or community.				
2. The court <b>DENIES</b> the petition for reduction of a felony to a misdemeanor under Penal	Code section 17(b) for:				
ALL FELONY CONVICTIONS in the above-entitled action; or					
Only the following felony convictions in the above-entitled action (specify charges and date of conviction):					
Offig the following felony convictions in the above-entitled action (specify charge	durid date or convictions.				
	Panal Code [ 8 1203 4 or and 1283 3				
3. The court GRANTS the petition for dismissal regarding the following convictions under	relial Code y 3 1205.4, 01				
§ 1203.4a, or § 1203.41, and it is ordered that the pleas, verdicts, or finding					
plea of not guilty be entered and that the complaint be, and is hereby, dismissed	TOT:				
ALL CONVICTIONS in the above-entitled action; or	Voto of conviction):				
Only the following convictions in the above-entitled action (specify charges and c	date of conviction).				
4. The court <b>DENIES</b> the petition for dismissal regarding the following convictions under	Penal Code S 1203.4, or				
§ 1203.4a, or § 1203.41 for:					
ALL CONVICTIONS in the above-entitled action; or					
Only the following convictions in the above-entitled action (specify charges and c	date of conviction):				
5. If this order is granted under the provisions of Penal Code section 1203.4 or 1203.41:					
a. The petitioner is required to disclose the above conviction in response to any direct	question contained in any questionnaire or				
application for public office, or for licensure by any state or local agency, or for conti					
Commission.	acting that the camerina class colory				
<ul> <li>b. Dismissal of the conviction does not automatically relieve petitioner from the require</li> </ul>	ement to register as a sex offender.				
(See, e.g., Pen. Code, § 290.5.)					
c. The petitioner may also be eligible to obtain a certificate of rehabilitation and pardor	under the procedure set forth in Penal				
Code section 4852.01 et seq.	and proceeding outliers. It is also				
**************************************	Page 1 of 2				
Form Approved for Optional Use ORDER FOR DISMISSAL	Penal Code, §§ 17(b), 1203.4,				

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v. DEFENDANT:	
Raymond Martinez	

CASE NUMBER: 1456316

6. If the order is granted under the provisions of either Penal Code section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41, the petitioner is released from all penalties and disabilities resulting from the offense except as provided in Penal Code sections 29800 and 29900 (formerly sections 12021 and 12021.1) and Vehicle Code section 13555. In any subsequent prosecution of the petitioner for any other offense, the prior conviction may be pleaded and proved and shall have the same effect as if probation had not been granted or the accusation or information dismissed. The dismissal does not permit a person to own, possess, or have in his or her control a firearm if prevented by Penal Code sections 29800 or 29900 (formerly sections 12021 and 12021.1). Dismissal of a conviction does not permit a person prohibited from holding public office as a result of that conviction to hold public office.

7. In addition, as required by Penal Code section 299(f), relief under Penal Code sections 17(b), 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 does *not* release petitioner from the separate administrative duty to provide specimens, samples, or print impressions under the DNA and Forensic Identification Database and Data Bank Act (Pen. Code, § 295 et seq.) if petitioner was found guilty by a trier of fact, not guilty by reason of insanity, or pled no contest to a qualifying offense as defined in Penal Code section 296(a).

Date: 4/22/16

(JUDICIAL OFFICER)



FRANK DOUGHERTY

CITING OFF / AGENCY MPD  Nature of Hearing LM	P19	CASE NO. <u>1456316</u> DATE <u>04/22/2016</u>	STATUSPS
People valeTINEZ, RAYMOND CURTIS			
Charge M. VC-23103.5			
D.A. COLOR Clerk LOGAN DEBORAH Repo	rterBailiff	Prob. Off.	
PD / CF I / CF II Def. Atty: Def. □ pres. □ SP. APP. □ Ret. □ Apptd INTERP: □ All DOS Land □ Happtd □ Waiver of cert.	NOT pres.   IC other ca	se 🗌 ankle mon. 🗌 paro	le 🗌 hold 🗌 by vi
SP. APP. Ret. Apptd INTERP: VIII (AIL COS) Langt HT	Cert. / Non-Cert.	Sworn/Oath on file	Cert. of Unavail to
☐ TIME WAIVED ☐ 10/60 ☐ TIME NOT WAIVED ☐ 10 DAYS T	TOLLED □ Good Cause to Co	on't. □ Arb. Waiver □	PC 977 Waiver fi
IEVE ADDEADANCE DATE	14- v 74-1 4-7 1-1	-4	
TIME:  DTHER APPEARANCE DATE:  TIME:  DTHER APPEARANCE DATE:  TIME:  TIME:  TIME:  NEXT APPEARANCE:  JT OR / Bail Rev.   Contested viol. or    Fur Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   Surr   DV Cal.    Appt./ Obt. Co.   Bat.   CT   DV Cal.    To Port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    To Port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Set JT   CT   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   Sent./Credits   DV Cal.    The port Arr.   Sent./Credits   Se	AM / PM DEPT:	Def. ordere	d to appear / excu
OTHER APPEARANCE DATE: TIME:	AM / PM DEPT: _	□ Def. ordere	d to appear / excu
NEXT APPEARANCE:   Tor POC   OR / Bail Rev.   Contested viol. or	_	Denv ☐ Viol. of Prob.	for VOP ARR/HRG / Mand. Sup. / PR
□ Fur Arr. □ Set JT □ CT □ Sent./Credits □ Surr □ DV Cal. □ Appt / Obt Co. □ FPT □ PX □ MH Crt. □ Prop 36 □ Drug Cr	□ PC 1368 / 1370 □ WI 30	51 ☐ Dr's Report ☐ CC	NREP Report
□ EOP □ □ PT □ RJT □ TRC □ Prob. Modif □ Trail #□ □ LNC □ NO COMPLAINT FILED □ DA TO FILE BY NEXT DATE  Assigned to Judge □ Dept for all purposes. CCP	REFER TO DA FOR A	AFF. / ARREST WARRAN Peo/Def. aga	T ainst
TRIAL STATUS / MOTIONS / COUNSEL	/ ARRGN / PLEA / PROBATION	ON VIOLATION	
□ No dispo. matter confirmed/set for JT / PX / PT / L&M / Contested VDP T  Motion of □ Court □ D.A. □ Prob. □ Defense □ Cont'd □ 1203 /  Péople □ Defense □ Object □ No oppos. □  COUNSEL: Court appts □ PD □ CF I □ CF II □	me Estimate Min/Hrs/D	ays/Weeks. Mot(s). in Limit	ne est Hrs./D
Zeople □ Defense ZObject □ No oppos. □	Motion i	s Granted D Denied D	Continued $\square$ Dron
COUNSEL: Court appts   PD   CF   CF		87.8 Def. waives Co.	Advised purs Far
☐ Def. Co. declares conflict ☐ is discharged	/ relieved   Disco. Tendered	] SI	ubs. in as Def. Co.
RR. / PLEA: Amended _ Complaint _ Infor Disc. Stip _ Lo	odged 🗆 Filed 🗆 Formal Arr. V	/aived ☐ Def. Arrgn'd. ☐	True name as cha
□ Complaint / Information amended on face to reflect: □ Def. moves to change plea □ Defendant □ advised of □ Immig. Rts giv	en and could result in deportat	ion, exclusion or denial of	naturalization
□ waives his Constitutional rights □ per Watson 23593 VC □ re VOP □	Adv. Priorable   Def. enters		
NOLO CONTENDERE ONOT GUILTY ONOT GUILTY / NGI to Ct(s	):	×	
Peo. v. West as a lesser included / related offense to Ct(s)			
Based upon plea of NOLO CONTENDERE, Court finds Defendant GUIL	TY of Ct(s) Ui	ol. of Prob. / Mand. Sup. / P	RCS DROPPED
☐ Court finds Def. has made an intelligent waiver of his rights; plea was fr	eely and voluntarily made; and	there is a factual basis for	the plea.
Def.	/ Refusal Alleg. CASE / C	(S) BAL DISM / IOJ	ISE
Refer to Prob. / Prop. 36 / Drug Ct. / MH Ct. 🗆 to det. CTS 🗆 for pr	e-plea / Sent. Rpt. 🗖 Ind. Se	nt.	
OP: Def. □ admits/denies VOP □ Court finds □ Prob Cause □ Def. i	s / is NOT in VOP  as alleged	No VOP for plea in #	
☐ For FTA at: ☐ Court / Jail on ☐ Prob / Prop 36 / Counse ☐ Failure to: ☐ Pay Restitution ☐ Obey all laws ☐ Provide curr./change of			
DEFERRED JUDGMENT / DRUG COURT			ance 🗆
☐ Judgment Deferred ☐ pur. PC1000 ☐ Pend. Drug Court Completion	☐ Enroll in	PC 1000.90	\$
□ Div. Admin. Fee \$ □ Show □ PROOF OF ENROLLMENT □ W/I days/hrs. □ Ref. to □ Drug Ct. / M.H. Ct. □ Granted as to 0			
□ Def. Jdmt rein/ext. □ mos. □ Re-ref. for Def. Jdmt. □ Def. Def. Def. Def. Def. Def. Def. Def.	Prop 36 / Drug Ct./MH_Crt. su	cess. completed. / inelig.	deleted terminate
□ Def. Jdmt / Prop 36 / Drug Ct / MH Crt continued □ to pay feës □ for final □ Jail release to Prob / BHRS / MH for entry into	review No new la	aw viol., plea of GUILTY/NO	OLO set aside
SENTENCING / PRISON / PROB			ce.
Report From: Problem Waived re Sentencing DORN Doctor(s) / VM	BC / CONREP / Other	□ Rec'd & Ci	onsid'd □ Submit
☐ IT IS ORDERED: PROB. PRCS / MAND. SUPV. IS / FEMAINS ☐ GF EXTENDED TO ☐ Prob. to prepare 1203c repor	RANTED DENIED REV.	REIN. MOD.	RM.)
17 100. 10 pare 12000 10 par	Doi: is scrittinged to state	T HSOIL.	
Pursuant to 1170(h)(5)(A)/(B) to a total term of mths./yrs.;	mths.yrs. county jail;	mths./yrs. susp'd.; ı	mandatory supervi
☐ Execution ☐ Imposition of sentence is susp, Def. admitted to ☐ FORM. ☐ Add'l. conditions of prob./post ref. suprvs. imposed as set forth and filed	AL INFORMAL probation for	a period of	□ vears □ mor
Def. shall serve a term of hrs/days cs ct ct: call leading to the leading shall serve a term of hrs/days cs ct ct: call leading the leading shall serve a term of hrs/days cs ct ct ct. call leading shall serve a term of hrs/days cs ct ct. call leading shall serve a term of hrs/days cs ct. call leading shall serve a term of hrs/days cs ct. call leading shall serve a term of hrs/days cs ct. call leading shall serve a term of hrs/days cs	Total Days:	days suspended D	Pend. Drug Ct. / P
l Def. awarded credits of days, plusdays'go	od/work time credits, total of	render to Dulail D PSC	□ Dent #
Stay of execution   granted   ext'd until   at / by     Stay of execution   granted   ext'd until   at / by     Stay of execution   granted   ext'd until   at / by     Stay of execution   granted   ext'd until   at / by     Stay of execution   granted   ext'd until   at / by     Stay of execution   granted   ext'd until   at / by     Stay of execution   granted   ext'd until   at / by     Stay of execution   granted   ext'd until   at / by     PC 1202.4(b)/PC 1202.44/45 \$	able through ☐ Prob. ☐ DOC ☐	Revenue Recovery Pro	ob. Mgt Fee up to
Booking Fee \$88.26	payable through Revenue Rec	overy Fine \$	02 007 foo \$
\$25 Admin. Screen Fee PC 1463.07. pavable through □ Prob. □ Rev.	Rec.		
Submit to DNA/Testing pursuant to: PC 1202.6 / PC 1202.1 / PC 296 HS 11372.5 Lab Fee, w/pen. assess. \$135.00 HS 11372.7 Dru	Def. given copy of PC 1202.6	test ☐ Retest at Pub. Hea	alth by
	g Ed. 1 ee <u>\$150.00</u>		
1368 PC / 3051	WI PROCEEDINGS	STATE OF THE STATE OF	
CRIM. PROC. □ Remain □ SUSP. □ REIN. RE □ PC 1368 / PC Ref. to □ CONREP for exam & rpt. □ VMRC □ DBHC for In-patien Ref. for meds. eval. □ Court finds Def. □ Incompet. / Devel. Disabled VI 3051 & Court finds Def. falls under prov. of WI 3051 □ Def. comm. to C	1369(a) / WI 3051 Ref. to	BHRS / Dr.(s)	BHC / back to Co
Ref. for meds. eval. Court finds Def. Incompet. / Devel. Disabled	compet. ☐ Def. waives ref. to	doctor and any irreg., all I	parties stip. to pro
	RC / Napa / Atascadero / Patt RANT / ARREST WARRANT	on for a max term of:	con inglesia atti
Def ETA □ Bail forf. In open court. □ OB revk'd. □ Good cause n	ot to forf, bail / not to rev'k OF	R / prob.	
BENCH WARRANT ISSUED, day/night service ☐ Bail ☐ set at Forf. set aside/bail rein. ☐ Pay \$50 admin. fee ☐ B/W stayed ☐ B/W R	\$ ☐ Exonerated	/ Reduced / Increased to \$	issue
Do Not O.R./Cite Dropped from cal., B/W remains out Do not rec	calendar.   \$75 fee for FTA [	ARREST WARR.	ISSUE
SPECIAL TERMS REGARDING O	R / BAIL RELEASE / SENTE	NCING	SIGNED PAYTHER AND
Register pursuant to H&S 11590 / PC 290 / PC 457.1 / PC 186.30 DO NOT: ☐ Contact ☐ Annoy, harass, molest, strike, harm, phone, s	DO NOT drive	unless properly licensed	and insured.
esidence / employment of	□ alleged victim □ except	for peaceful / lawful chil	d visitation
DO NOT HOT (DOCCEON THE LET THE LOCAL TO THE LOCAL THE L	o not be in / about place whe	re alcoh, is sold  Shall	I not assoc.
/ known users		, parapri. 🗆 Tirearms 📋	LITERALLY WORDON
// known users    Submit your person, home. & vehicle to search	_ 🗆 Hrs. communit	y service	deadily weapon
DO NOT USE / POSSESS: alcohol drugs/drug paraph. Du/known users Submit your person, home, & vehicle to search stolen property date AA / NA meetings times	_ ☐ Hrs. communit per week. ☐ Show proof to a	y service ttend, at each court hear	rina.
// known users □ Submit your person, home, & vehicle to search   stolen property □ □ AA / NA meetings □ times   IVSTODYN □ PC 1320 ADVISED	_ ☐ Hrs. communit per week. ☐ Show proof to a ☐ Probation ☐ Mand. Supy. ☐	y service ttend. at each court hear Parole □ PBCS □-fischar	ring.
// known users  Submit your person, home, & vehicle to search   stolen property    stolen property    AA / NA meetingstimes   AA / NA meetingstimes   USTIODY: Defendant released on Bail OR PC 1320 ADVISED   Bail currently set at \$ Def. remanded to	☐ ☐ Hrs. communit per week. ☐ Show proof to a □ Probation ☐ Mand. Supv. ☐ the custody of the Sheriff ☐ t atton ☐ ☐ ☐	y service ttend. at each court hear Parole □ PRCS □ bischar serve original sent. / VOP BUT NOT BEFORE —	ring. rged this case
// known users    Submit your person, home. & vehicle to search	☐ ☐ Hrs. communit per week. ☐ Show proof to a □ Probation ☐ Mand. Supv. ☐ the custody of the Sheriff ☐ t atton ☐ ☐ ☐	y service ttend. at each court hear Parole □ PRCS □ bischar serve original sent. / VOP BUT NOT BEFORE —	ring. rged I this case